Response to Reviewer 3 Comments

**Point 1:**
Authors did not come up with improved version of their manuscript. Introduction still lacks the background of the conducted research. Experimental techniques are not well explained. Grading curves of all raw materials were not presented. Grading curve for fine aggregates is not correct.

**Response 1:**
Thank you for your comments.
Introduction has been improved. Experimental part has been edited.
I don’t have grading curves of raw materials. Because physical properties and chemical proportions were received from manufacture company.

**Point 2:**
Furthermore, interpretation of results is limited and there are many questions with regard to casting, mixing and test methods which suggest rejection of this paper.

**Response 2:**
Thank you for your comments.
Casting mixing and test methods were edited.