ANSWER TO REVIEWER 3

Most of my concerns were addressed. Therefore, I recommend this manuscript for publication.

Thank you very much for your comments.

As we carefully checked the spelling, we have noted that we had the Word corrector in UK English. We have selected the whole document and selected USA English. As a consequence, we have changed a few words in the document as behaviour, analyse, aluminium, colour and centre that are highlighted in green in the paper on lines 21, 46, 90, 93, 196, 201, 217, 271, 272, 291, 297 and 334. That is to say,

- On line 21 instead of ”behaviour “, we write “behavior”.
- On line 46 instead of “analyse“, we write “analyze”.
- On line 72 instead of “unsymmetric" we write "asymmetric”.
- On line 93 instead of “analyse“, we write “analyze”.
- On line 196 instead of “analysed“, we write “analyzed”.
- On line 201 instead of “analysing “, we write “analyzing”.
- On line 217 instead of “analysed“, we write “analyzed”.
- On line 271 instead of “aluminium“, we write “aluminum“
- On line 272 instead of “analysed“, we write “analyzed”.
- On line 291 instead of “colour“, we write “color“.
- On line 297 instead of “centre“, we write “center“.
- On line 334 instead of “centre“, we write “center“.

Once we have read in detail the paper we have found one grammatical mistake,

- On line 121 instead of "by a tetrahedral elements ", we write “by a tetrahedral elements”.

Also, we have corrected the following references,

- On line 68 instead of "In [16], Tonti presents a new 2D..." we write "Tonti [16] discusses about...".
- On line 75 instead of "In [21] Bullo calculates...", we write “Bullo [21] calculates…”.
- On line 77 instead of "In [22] Bullo uses CM...", we write “Bullo [22] uses CM …”.
- On line 81 instead of "In [14], Specogna, by using...", we write “Specogna [14], by using…”.
- On line 86 instead of "In [12–13], González develops...", we write “González [12–13] develops…”.
- On line 90 instead of " In [10–11], Monzón-Verona analyses...", we write Monzón-Verona [12–13] analyzes…”.