

Response to reviewer 3

- 1- We have spelled out the acronyms for PID-5, DAS, and APIM in the abstract.
- 2- We have removed all comments about future studies from the Participants section and put them in the Conclusion.
- 3- We have removed “the measure of”.
- 4- Each table and figure has been bookmarked and has a hyperlink in the text in order to access it more quickly. We have therefore also included hyperlinks back to the text next to each table and figure. Just click on the hyperlink.
- 5- We have removed the comment about extraversion being a positive trait. The negative effect of extraversion on men’s dyadic adjustment is addressed in the discussion.
- 6- We have broken up the paragraph on page 15 and have eliminated some of the repetitive language.
- 7- When we refer to the community, we mean the general public. This is to distinguish our sample from a clinical sample for example. It is also a term that is commonly used in research articles. To make this even clearer, we have changed it to “a community sample”.
- 8- While women’s self-rated conscientiousness is significantly higher than men’s in our sample, the effects of men and women’s self-rated conscientiousness on dyadic adjustment did not differ when examining constraints. We therefore cannot talk about a sex difference.
- 9- We have removed “histrionic” from the article.
- 10- When we talk about assortative mating, we are referring to personality similarity. This was not the focus of this study which is why it was not addressed.