Force causing one-millimeter displacement of bone fragments of condylar basal fracture of mandible after fixation by all available designs of plates

I commend the authors for their research in testing the mechanical strengths for various commercially available bone plates. Following are some of the major concerns.

- The research strategy could have been better. Instead of just using polyurethane foam mandibles, authors should have considered bi-axial three point or four-point mechanical testing.
- There are various unknown factors that could have affected the results such as improper fixation of the plates, uneven placement and many others.
- Authors have just used the designs of various plates commercially available and fabricated similar designs for testing. Commercially available plates are not made of similar material. Their mechanical strengths would not be similar to what you have achieved. Most of the companies producing these plates have their own proprietary material composition and fabrication technique. Authors cannot claim that these plates are inferior based on just their design model.
- I would also strongly suggest the authors to get the manuscript thoroughly checked for the grammar, missing punctuations and sentence formations with a native English speaker. I would suggest utilizing the English proof-reading facility with MDPI.

Minor concerns:
Abstract:
Fonts within the paragraphs are different (Especially in abstract). Please be consistent.

Introduction:
Introduction could have been elaborate. Authors could have talked about different types of plates currently available, types of applications these plates are used for, common causes for failure and previous similar research.