Response to Reviewer 4 Comments

Dear reviewer,

Thank you very much for your recognition and your valuable comments. This time, I modify my manuscript according to your suggestion. At the same time, my classmates helped me correct some of the English writing errors and description errors in the manuscript.

For your suggestions, I explained each point in detail as follows, and revised the corresponding content in the manuscript. At the same time, we enriched our references and results. I hope that you are satisfied with my processing results.

Point 1: There is a need to insert a section of learned lessons or future research directions. The authors indicate in a single line the next step. It could be of interest to the reader.

Response 1: We enrich the future research direction of the manuscript. (on lines 690-696 in the new manuscript)

Point 2: The authors should reference the paragraph that is between lines 381-383. It has been literally copied.

Response 2: We add reference marks for the corresponding positions. (on lines 406-411 in the new manuscript)

Point 3: They should also review and correctly format references 23 and 25. See section references.

Response 3: We modify the format of Reference 23 in the original manuscript. (on line 763 in the new manuscript) We verified the format of Reference 25, and we determined that it had no problems, even though it looked strange.

Point 4: Review the references on line 302: ZebraNet [32] and CRAWDAD [32].

Response 4: These two datasets are downloaded from a website, and we use the homepage of the website as a reference, so their reference marks are the same.