Answer to reviewers

The authors wish to thank the reviewers for their assistance and critique. The suggestions have been helpful and have improved the readability and quality of the submission. This document contains the exact reviewer’s comments and our responses to each of these comments. Reviewers’ comments are coloured in blue pen and comments are reported below for each point. Changes to the manuscript are highlighted in red pen in the marked version of the manuscript.

Reviewer #1

Comment #1 - Figure 1. Illustration should be corrected - the text is hard to read,
Response: for Figure 1 the quality was improved.

Comment #2 - line 126, 136, 137, 145 and more - Error! Reference source not found,
Response: The cross-references have been updated throughout the manuscript.

Comment #3 - Figure 2. - the chart for me is not clear,
Response: Figure 2 was clarified in the manuscript.

Comment #4 - Figure 4. it looks bad
Thank you for the comment. Figure 4 the quality was improved.

Comment #5 - Figure 5. - there are too small photos,
Response: Components in Figure 5 were enlarged.

Comment #6- table headers are incorrect,
Response: The Table headers have been corrected in the revised manuscript.

Comment #7- Figure 6. - it looks bad,
Response: Figure 6 was revised.
Comment #8 - the numbering of equations is not correct,
Response: Equation numbering has been revised.

Comment #9 - Figure 7, Figure 8, Figure 9, Figure 10, Figure 11, Figure 12, 13, 14, 16, 17 - does not look professional,
Response: Figures were revised.

Comment #10 - Figure 15 - there are too small fonts.
Response: Figure 15 was revised.