

For research article

Response to Reviewer 2 Comments

1. Summary

Thank you very much for taking the time to review this manuscript. We agree with the reviewer's suggestions and will incorporate the recommended changes into the manuscript.

2. Questions for General Evaluation	Reviewer's Evaluation	Response and Revisions
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	Yes	
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	Yes	
Is the research design appropriate?	Yes	
Are the methods adequately described?	Yes	
Are the results clearly presented?	Yes	
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	Yes	

3. Point-by-point response to Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Comments 1: *After revisions, I think the quality of this manuscript has been improved. However, some problems still exist. For example, 1) Please pay attention to the format, such as Figure 5 and its caption not being on the same page. 2) Vector graphics are suggested for making your paper more reader-friendly, and the text in some figures is hard to read when zoomed in.*

Response 1: Thank you for pointing this out. We agree with this comment. *We have adjusted the positions of some paragraphs to ensure that each figure and its caption are on the same page. Additionally, we will provide all figures in vector format for the editor to enhance the readability of the charts.*