

Comments to reviewers -

Reviewer 4

General Comments: This manuscript describes the vulnerability concept adopted for the economic valuation of natural and manufactured capitals and the approach to measure wildfire damages (as a measure of coping capacity and recovery) at European scale. Although this manuscript is somewhat detailed and long, is well-written and structured. I have concluded that it does meet Fire publication criteria and can be accepted for publication after minor revisions.

We thank the reviewer for the positive comment

Here are some minor points to note, others are explained in the text:

Abstract

The method must be written clearly and scientifically.

The main results of this research should be expressed quantitatively.

We have reformulated the abstract following your recommendation as also suggested by another reviewer.

Materials and Methods

The methods section seems to be very long and detailed. If possible, summarize unnecessary sections.

Where possible we have reduced some words and streamlined/simplified the narrative through a general revision of the text (not only in the method), but the several components of the valuation framework necessitate a quite extensive methodological section to clearly explain the approach proposed.

Conclusions

The conclusions should mention the future applicability of the method.

Some ideas on the applicability of the methods, further research and policy implications are now provided in the conclusions.

Please check the guidelines of the Journal and format your manuscript accordingly

Done. Minor changes to be more aligned to the requested format are made.