

Pinelands: Impacts of different long-term land uses on soil physical properties in Red Ferrosols

- The authors should explain deeply the importance of their study to the agricultural sector, the novelty, and why this study is important to the agricultural sector.
- How is this study different from the previous studies?
- In the abstract should be rewritten as (introduction – material and methods- the most important results).
-
- What is the paragraph (48- 54), it was written without any references
- In scientific work, we cannot write any phrases without references
- The same matter with a paragraph (63-71)
- In the discussion section, what are these paragraphs (265-270), (271-279), 280-286).
- The same matter with paragraphs of 293-299; 300-307.
- The same with paragraphs (309-320)
- In the discussion sections, the authors should discuss their findings and give explanations for their results
- Also, the authors can exhibit the previous findings of other authors to confirm their findings (if possible).
- In the current study, unfortunately, the authors did not write any discussion; they just repeated their results (I do not understand why).
- This section should be revised carefully and rewritten.
- The conclusion section is so long, and it should be revised. The authors should write the most obtained results and show the importance of their study to the agricultural sector.

- The abbreviations should be inserted in the manuscript for the first time for each word, and then the authors can use the abbreviations. This is a manuscript, not a thesis
- The reference section needs major revision and should be completely improved
- The old references should be updated and replaced with the most recent ones (it is preferable to use those from the last five years).
- The authors should follow the instructions of MDPI journals
- 31 references are a scarce number for a research article