

For research article

Response to Reviewer 2 Comments

1. Summary

Thank you very much for taking the time to review this manuscript and for giving advice to make the manuscript clearer. Please find the detailed responses below and the corresponding revisions in red in the re-submitted files.

2. Questions for General Evaluation	Reviewer's Evaluation	Response and Revisions
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	Can be improved	<i>See the point-by-point response to comments</i>
Is the research design appropriate?	Can be improved	<i>See the point-by-point response to comments</i>
Are the methods adequately described?	Can be improved	<i>See the point-by-point response to comments</i>
Are the results clearly presented?	Yes	<i>See the point-by-point response to comments</i>
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	Yes	<i>See the point-by-point response to comments</i>
Are all figures and tables clear and well-presented?	Can be improved	<i>See the point-by-point response to comments</i>

3. Point-by-point response to Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Comments 1: *May author can add typical electrical signal and more electro-technical details?*

Response 1: Thank you for pointing this out. Therefore, we have inserted more technical details of tDCS in the introduction lines 42-48.

Comments 2: *What about race of people under research?*

Response 2: Thank you for pointing this out. Subjects included in the study are Caucasian, therefore we specified it in line 127.

Comments 3: *Authors could add some unanswered question which were found under research.*

Response 3: Thanks for pointing this out. We added a sentence in the conclusion section to address this point (lines 442-449).

4. Response to Comments on the Quality of English Language

Point 1: *The English is fine and does not require any improvement.*