

First of all, congratulations for the work done, then I will mention a number of changes and recommendations in order to obtain clearer and more accurate information.

Abstract/Keywords

Avoid the use of abbreviations in the abstract.

The keywords must be different from those included in the title.

Introduction

Its ok.

Materials and Methods

Joanna Briggs Institute do not have to be abbreviated as it only appears twice in the manuscript.

Figure 1 is blurred, replace it with a better quality one and without the grammatical marks of the word processor from which you have taken the screenshot.

I do not understand the reason for the inclusion of the table headers in figure 2, they are not necessary and do not clarify anything.

Results

Figure 3 is blurred, replace it with a better quality one.

In Table 1, when you put the author of the paper before the reference, you should write for example, Armagan et al. (2006) [31], check and correct all related issues in all tables.

It would be interesting to apply scales to assess the risk of bias in the included studies, such as the PEDro scale or the RoB 2, which would give greater weight to the results presented.

Discussion/Conclusion

They are ok.

Congratulations on the work done, especially the in-depth analysis of the papers included. The results presented provide a great deal of information on these papers. In general, it is a good work with hardly any errors in which it can be seen that attention to detail has been paid. Great job.