For review article

Response to Reviewer X Comments

1. Summary

Thank you very much for taking the time to review this manuscript. Please find the detailed responses below and the corresponding revisions/corrections highlighted/in track changes in the re-submitted files. *[This is only a recommended summary. Please feel free to adjust it. We do suggest maintaining a neutral tone and thanking the reviewers for their contribution although the comments may be negative or off-target. If you disagree with the reviewer's comments please include any concerns you may have in the letter to the Academic Editor.]*

2. Questions for General Evaluation	**Reviewer's Evaluation**	**Response and Revisions**
Is the work a significant contribution to the field?		*[Please give your response if necessary. Or you can also give your corresponding response in the point-by-point response letter. The same as below]*
Is the work well organized and comprehensively described?		
Is the work scientifically sound and not misleading?		
Are there appropriate and adequate references to related and previous work?		
Is the English used correct and readable?		

3. Point-by-point response to Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Comments 1:

Lines 53-58 : What methodology was used to prepare the terminalia for dissection? Cite the methodology. What method was used to collect insects? What is the collection location? Add the name of the collection city and the geographic coordinates of the collection point. Add to the methodology that was produced a new key to the genus.

Response 1: Thank you for pointing this out. We agree with this comment.

Therefore, we have added the distribution information and methodologies for specimen collection, and methods of dissecting, boiling and identification, and compilation of the key. [Page 2, line 53-64].

Comments 2:

Lines 60-127: Add the number of individuals studied and information on the deposit location of the two species.

Response 2: Agree. We have added four species in generic remarks and note deposit location of the two species in Material and Methods. About the number of specimens was included in "Material and exammined"

Comments 3:

Lines 150-203: Add this excerpt from the work describing the new species above line 129 (checklist) and below line 128.

We need to explain that we follow sequence: generic description, generic Checklist (how many species) and Key to species (how to distinguish), and species description.

4. Response to Comments on the Quality of English Language

Point 1:

Response 1: (in red)