

Exploring Resilience and Its Determinants in the Forced Migration of Ukrainian Citizens: A Psychological Perspective

This is a very important article for the current global situation in society.

The article enhances understanding of resilience in forced migration through a psychological lens, highlighting the importance of identifying resilience determinants and evidence-based 11 interventions.

The abstract is very well presented.

My comments are mainly related to the structure of the article, and to some content issues.

Introduction:

Please, I ask you to shorten the introduction a bit and to mark your objectives and the contribution you make.

Theoretical framework:

The article, in its current form, does not have a theoretical framework, and therefore, I ask you to use part of the introduction to make a brief theoretical framework on the psychological factors that affect refugees. There is an extensive literature on this.

Please, expand the “Stages from Trauma to Resilience” section a bit. It would be a theoretical framework together with part of the introduction.

Although I am not an expert in quantitative methodology, I consider that the methodology is very well carried out. However, as I am an expert in qualitative analysis, I would have liked to read an article with a combined methodology, since the voices of some interviewees would have given more “colour” to the article. The quantitative methodology is fine, but it does not enrich. Maybe for another work...

Likewise, I would like to highlight the quality of the article's results.

Discussion:

In this section, please, discuss only the results of your work without so many citations. The discussion seems like a theoretical framework. Please remove part of the discussion and include it in the theoretical framework mentioned above.

Conclusions:

Expand this section a little, or, if you prefer, make a link with the discussion and create a single section in which you highlight your contribution and some suggestions for future research, considering the importance of the aspect analyzed in your article.

Our response

Reduced introduction and now mention that the theory motivated this study.

We agree that this is critical and present a quantitative result in another manuscript currently under review.

I changed the discussion and conclusion sections.