The Response of Revisions for the Sustainability Manuscript

No.3196178

Title: The effect of mental health risk perception on revisit willing-ness of rural homestay tourists — A muti-group comparative analysis

We greatly appreciate the reviewer's insightful and constructive comments and suggestions on the previous version of this manuscript. They were very helpful. We have carefully reviewed the comments and have thoroughly revised the manuscript integrating the comments. This report is our responses to all the comments (in blue and red for your convenience). We hope the revision meets your expectation. If there are any further comments, please let us know. We are happy to make more changes.

1. On line 294 "the willingness of tourists to be infected with the virus" might be an error, as few are willing to be infected by a virus. They might, however, be willing to risk infection which could be reflected in the overall number of virus infections of each respondent.

Response: *Thank you for your correction. This is really a misrepresentation. Our changes are listed below:*

- **Page 13, line 463-465**

... In general, the willingness of tourists to risk being infected with the virus was more significantly affected by their mental health risk perception and emotional solidarity. ...

2. On line 317 – there is no 3.1.1 Subsection - it might be a leftover form a draft version.

Response: *Thank you for your correction. The changes are listed below:*

- **Page 15, line 558**

... rural homestays. Therefore, improvements should be made in future studies.

3. On line 332 - there is a mistype as I assume the acknowledgement was "for his guidance on this article"

Response: *Thank you for your correction. The changes are listed below:*

- **Page 15, line 572**

... for his guidance on this article.