

Response to Reviewer 3 Comments

1. Summary

Dear Reviewer

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude for taking the time to review my article titled " Risk mitigation in environmental conservation for potato production in Cisangkuy Subwatershed, Bandung Regency, West Java, Indonesia" Your valuable insights and constructive feedback have greatly contributed to improving the quality and clarity of the manuscript.

I truly appreciate your thorough evaluation of the content and your thoughtful suggestions for enhancement. Your expertise in the field has been instrumental in refining the article, and I believe that your input will significantly strengthen the overall contribution of this work. I have conscientiously considered all your comments and made the necessary revisions in accordance with your recommendations. Your dedication to the peer-review process is highly commendable, and your commitment to elevating the quality of research is evident. Please find the detailed responses below and the corresponding **revisions/corrections highlighted/in track changes** in the re-submitted files.

Once again, thank you for your time, effort, and expertise. Your contributions are invaluable, and I am sincerely grateful for your support in making this article more robust and impactful. May your kindness be rewarded with double goodness. Thank you very much, wish you continued health and success.

Warm regards,
Nur Syamsiyah
Universitas Padjadjaran

2. Questions for General Evaluation	Reviewer's Evaluation	Response and Revisions
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	~~Yes/Can be improved/Must be improved/Not applicable~~	Thank you can be improved.
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	~~Yes/Can be improved/Must be improved/Not applicable~~	Thank you can be improved.
Is the research design appropriate?	~~Yes/Can be improved/Must be improved/Not applicable~~	Thank you for your evaluation
Are the methods adequately described?	~~Yes/Can be improved/Must be improved/Not applicable~~	Thank you can be improved.
Are the results clearly presented?	~~Yes/Can be improved/Must be improved/Not applicable~~	Thank you for your evaluation, I tried to provide clear results by adding a few sentences to the discussion.

Are the conclusions supported by the results? ~~Yes/Can be improved/Must be improved/Not applicable~~ Thank you can be improved.

3. Point-by-point response to Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Comments:

Several areas that require significant improvement, particularly in the introduction, the second section, and formatting.

Firstly, the introduction remains overly verbose, dedicating excessive space to detailing the research area while neglecting the scientific issues at hand. Although the authors included a concluding statement addressing the scientific problem as per the reviewer's feedback, this addition is insufficient. It is crucial for the authors to reconsider the balance between the importance of the region and the theoretical contributions of their research. For an international audience, the novelty of the theoretical framework should take precedence over regional specifics. Therefore, I strongly recommend that the authors substantially reduce the current length of the introduction regarding the area and instead focus on articulating the research questions, existing gaps in the literature, and how this study aims to address these gaps.

Secondly, the second section of the manuscript is excessively lengthy, particularly the theoretical review, which would be better positioned within the introduction. This adjustment would help to clearly delineate the two key aspects of the research: its practical guidance and its theoretical contributions. Additionally, the methods and research area descriptions could be condensed. I suggest that the authors include more informative visual elements, such as maps of the study area and sampling points, to enhance reader comprehension. The current figures lack sufficient detail and do not effectively support the text.

Lastly, there are several formatting issues that need careful proofreading. For instance, the inclusion of a period at the end of the title is unnecessary and should be corrected.

Responses:

Thank you very much for your valuable feedback. We greatly appreciate your detailed suggestions, which will significantly improve the quality of our manuscript.

We acknowledge the need to revise the introduction to strike a better balance between the importance of the research region and the theoretical contributions of the study. We will reduce the portion dedicated to describing the research area and focus more on articulating the research questions, identifying gaps in the literature, and explaining how our study addresses those gaps, particularly for an international audience. (Page 4, Lines 29-32)

Regarding the second section, we agree that it is too lengthy, especially the theoretical review, and we will work on integrating it into the introduction for a more streamlined structure. The methods and description of the research area will also be condensed, and we will enhance the visual presentation of the manuscript by adding more detailed figures, including maps of the study area and sampling points, to improve clarity and support the text effectively. (Page 5, Figure 1, Lines 1-3)

Lastly, we will carefully review the formatting and correct any issues, including the unnecessary period at the end of the title. (Page 1-26, yellow highlight)

Thank you again for your thorough review, and we look forward to making these improvements in our revision.

4. Response to Comments on the Quality of English Language

Point : different typos are present in the text

Quality of English Language

(x) I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper.

Response :

Thank you for your comments regarding the quality of the English in the manuscript I sent. The author has limitations, but the author tries to present articles that have good language quality with the help of various parties who really helped the author in perfecting this manuscript. One again I truly appreciate your comments. I have revised and paraphrased the sentences according to the reviewer's suggestions. Thank You.

5. Additional clarifications

Response:

There's not much I can say, thank you for all the comments and input to improve my manuscript. Thank you for your support. I hope you get many blessings in return. hopefully healthy and successful always.