Dear Authors,

I have carefully read the revised manuscript, and must say that I'm glad that the majority of my recommendations were accepted. Still, I have found some details that must/should be addressed before the manuscript is published. More precisely, in lines 209-210 the correct way for giving a citation must be used (currently it states: "Error! Reference source not found".),

The comment “Error! Reference source not found" is automatically added by the software when the reference is lost. We corrected it, should be “Table 1”.

and text break between lines 448 and 449 must be omitted.

Corrected.

Also, I would recommend that the sentence in lines 155-157 is re-written in such way that you do not provide the name of the coatings manufacturer, and simply state that coatings from renowned manufacturer were used. Later in the manuscript Sigma Coatings are once again mentioned, and this part should also be re-written. This is due to the fact that the topic of this research weren't coatings and that some results on coatings were given. In order not to give positive/negative review of the coatings themselves and their manufacturer, I would strongly recommend to correct the text.

Thank you for that prudent and fully justified remark! We changed the discussed description to:

The two type wooden window surface professional finishing systems, transparent and white, including acrylic primer, base and face coat, delivered by international brand, were used for surface finishing. We do not publish the provider name to avoid connecting the results with the coating producer.

We also removed all other descriptions that could allow identify the coatings producer.