**Clarifications regarding the changes made to the paper, following the suggestions of the two reviewers**

Thank you for your comments regarding our paper submitted to Agriculture, ID 3071403. They helped us in improving the scientific level. I re-uploaded the paper to the magazine platform. Below are some clarifications regarding the completion of the work.

1. The title of the paper has been changed, to better specify the connection with the content.
2. Clarifications have been introduced regarding the price of discs and seed drills in general to show that these prices are by no means low, and some parts (such as coulter discs) need to be changed relatively often.
3. Several paragraphs were removed from the introduction of the paper and replaced with others, at the suggestion of the reviewers, especially reviewer 2, whom we thank for their contribution to raising the scientific level of the paper!
4. It should be noted that the works studied in the specialized literature do not specify the distribution of the dimensions and physical parameters of the coulters, but many works have been studied that deal with the subject of seed drills with double disc coulters.
5. Some measurements were redone, in order to eliminate those deviations (considered random by us), or to reduce them, which can also be seen from the data in tables 1 and 2, but also from the graphs presented in this version of work. I had more than 100 discs available (from the spare parts warehouse), but 20 discs were randomly chosen for which the determinations were made. Therefore, we cannot say that the obtained values are random.
6. Clarifications were introduced on the Fisher test performed for the variation of disk parameters, both for new ones and for disks that have already worked Chi-square tests were performed for both Gaussian distribution probability density values and raw values determined by direct measurements.
7. Remark 4 of reviewer 2 is true, but the authors tried to keep the same relationship model (and presentation) for all the diameters at which the disc thickness was determined.
8. After analyzing the data and redoing the measurements, figure 10 (a) was redone.
9. For a clearer understanding of table 3, a footnote has been added.
10. Figure 11 was replaced (the data were anyway in table 2) with another figure that shows the change in the physical parameters of the opener discs depending on the sown crop area
11. New data have been added regarding the modification of disc parameters by abrasive wear with the soil, after 80 hectares, respectively after 380 hectares sown.
12. In the work it was mentioned that adjusting the position of the discs, at least at the moment, cannot be done instantly or individually. For this reason, the first paragraph of the conclusions was added.
13. Table 3 refers exactly to paragraph 4 of the conclusions. Anyway, a new figure 11 was completed and introduced.
14. Thank you!

Gheorghe VOICU