For research article

Response to Reviewer 1 Comments

1. Summary

Thank you very much for taking the time to review this manuscript. Please find the detailed responses below and the corresponding revisions in the re-submitted files.

2. Questions for General Evaluation	**Reviewer's Evaluation**	**Response and Revisions**
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	Can be improved	*[Please give your response if necessary. Or you can also give your corresponding response in the point-by-point response letter. The same as below]*
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	Yes	
Is the research design appropriate?	Yes	
Are the methods adequately described?	Can be improved	
Are the results clearly presented?	Can be improved	
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	Yes	

3. Point-by-point response to Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Comments 1: [The MS has scope to improve in the scientific writing].

Response 1: Thank you for pointing this out. We agree with this comment. We have tried our best to improve in the scientific writing. There still a long way in the scientific writing for us.

4. Response to Comments on the Quality of English Language

Point 1:

Response 1: (in red)

5. Additional clarifications

[Here, mention any other clarifications you would like to provide to the journal editor/reviewer.]