

May 26, 2024

Dear editor,

Thank you and the Reviewers very much for the helpful and valuable review. We are delighted to address the issues and comments as follows. We have submitted the revised draft (using the latest formatted version of the paper in Word) at the submission portal. Thank you again for your consideration and review of our paper.

Kind regards,

Samuel Law

Corresponding author

Reviewer 2

1. *Generally the English is fine with the exception of some occasional typos, i.e. : Line 114 ("base don") Line 156 (capitalization of "Tables")*

→ We thank the Reviewer for the careful review. We have reviewed more carefully the paper and addressed these and several more language errors. For style consistency, we have capitalized "Table" as we refer to specific numerically numbered tables in this paper.

2. *This was unlike many manuscripts that I have reviewed. It was a fairly comprehensive method of determining domains and time perspectives for clinicians active in mental health evaluations. I am not sure that I am inclined to think that this is "journal ready", I definitely COULD see it having value to the academic community, which is why I would only suggest minor changes. Along those lines, please do the following:*

- 1) *Please employ the services of a copy editor. There were more than a few grammatical and spelling errors that are worthy of attention.*

→ We are grateful for the suggestion. We have more carefully reviewed the paper and addressed several grammatical and spelling errors as mentioned. We also use a Canadian spelling system which flagged many spelling issues and we have converted to a US spelling standard henceforth.

- 2) *Please see about cleaning up Table 1. While it contained good information, there were awkward breaks in words that distracts from the quality of the table.*

→ We are grateful for this suggestion. As per our response to Reviewer 1 (comment 4) above, we apologize for the formatting issues. We have deleted some columns, modified the content substantially (see track changes in Table 1s, and used a landscape orientation for the paper to make it more presentable.

- 3) *I thought that the discussion and limitations section seemed on point, so my thanks to the author team for that.*

→ Thank you very much!