

Reply to Reviewer no. 2

Thank you very much for the review and for your positive recommendation to publish our manuscript in the Applied Sciences journal. Below you can find answers for your comments and suggestions:

Comment 1: “ Lines 50-51: Please revise this statement, it is not correct and please provide appropriate reference.”

Authors’ response: The statement has been corrected and appropriate reference provided.

Comment 2: “Line 88: Please check that units are written in the same way through all manuscript (g/L or gL⁻¹). “

Authors’ response: The units were checked and standardized.

Comment 3: “Line 96: Please correct writing of chemicals, e.i. Na₂CO₃ in Na2CO3, through all manuscript.”

Authors’ response: Corrected Na₂CO₃ in Na₂CO₃

Comment 4: “Line 99: Please correct R₂ in R2 through all manuscript. “

Authors’ response: Corrected R₂ in R²

Comment 5: “Line 111: Please correct, you are missing verb in the sentence, add calculated or determined. “

Authors’ response: Corrected. Added ‘determined’.

Comment 6: “Lines 154-155: Please correct writing in italic p-coumaric, quercetin-3-O-rutinoside...and other phenolics like anthocyanins, e.i. malvidin-3-O-glicoside.. through all manuscript. “

Authors’ response: Corrected.

Comment 7: “Line 196: Please correct through all manuscript p-value is written in italic. “

Authors’ response: Corrected.

Comment 8: “ Lines 220-221: The form of tables 1 and 2 is not clear, please separate first part from another with one extra row and transfer p-value (production) to org. and con. wines. Please correct in table 2 Syrach in Syrah. “

Authors’ response: Separated and added one extra row and transferred production to organic and conventional. Corrected in Syrah.

Comment 9: “Lines 251-252: Please provide better caption of tables from which is clear that second table (Table 4) is referring on the interactions between two factors, precisely production and kind of wine. That information you provided till in the part of discussion. “

Authors' response: Corrected.

Comment 10: “Line 270: The same comment as previous. It is not clear that Table 6 is referring on interactions among production and kind of wine. “

Authors' response: Corrected.

Comment 11: “ In part of the results regarding PCA, there are no line numbers for this part of text in the manuscript. “

Authors' response: Added line numbers in part of the results regarding PCA.

Comment 12: “Lines 272-278: Written in this way (like for introduction) it is unnecessary for the discussion part. “

Authors' response: Removed the first part of discussion.

Comment 13: “ Lines 283-284: Please remove the extra word from the sentence: the total polyphenol content. “

Authors' response: Removed.