

1. The introduction is very unfocused and fails to clearly express the purpose of the work and how it fits into the current landscape of knowledge related to the topic at hand. Additionally, lines 67-71 redundantly reiterate that the work investigates the relationships between four key concepts: reuse, food healthiness, trust, eco-friendly packaging, without explaining, even briefly, the objectives, aims, and methods. Therefore, the introduction should be completely restructured.
2. The entire Section Two appears to resemble a review, yet the work is classified as a scientific article. Therefore, it is not appropriate to include such an extensive literature analysis, especially divided according to the four aforementioned keywords.
3. Table 6 and Figure 2 both present the same values. It would be advisable to choose one of them for inclusion in the manuscript to avoid redundancy.
4. In the conclusion section, bibliographic references should not be included.
5. In reference 5 of the bibliography, the font style needs to be standardized.
6. Certainly, including specific publications on eco-friendly packaging in the bibliography would be beneficial. E.g. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2023.2235711>.
7. The conclusion does not discern the achievement of the aims set in the work. Additionally, these aims were not adequately expressed in the introduction. Furthermore, the authors assert (lines 346-347) that "*Although packaging is an essential issue in the food delivery app domain, its effect on consumer perception has rarely been explored.*" This statement is not accurate, as there is considerable recent literature on this topic (e.g.: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020.00063>).
8. The present work is in total methodological continuity with a previous work by the same authors (<https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15245057>) which is not cited in the bibliography.