

Response to Reviewer 4:

Summary: I am really grateful to review this manuscript. In my opinion, this manuscript can be published once some revision is done successfully. I made one suggestion and I would like to ask your kind understanding. This study used perturbed image data from two public sources, applied ten convolutional neural networks and achieved the error rate of 15.2% for the prediction of pneumonia and breast lesions. I would argue that this is a good start.

Author Response: We thank the reviewer for the summary and comment.

Reviewer Comment #1: I would like to ask the authors to add a summary table for Figures 5-7: The table would increase the understanding and interest of readers.

Author Response: We have added a table with a summary of the numeric data presented in Fig. 5-7.