

Response to Reviewer 3:

The manuscript deals with important and also interesting topic. There is evident novelty. The obtained data are bringing the advance into the studied subject. Methods are well described and easy to follow. The manuscript is very well written without any evident issues. Conclusions section is "making sense". Just very few minor points have to be corrected:

Thanks for the helpful correction points.

1. line 208: use symbol $\times$ instead of letter x and use appropriate superscript. Thus, "40x10x1 mm³" has to be rewritten to 40 $\times$ 10 $\times$ 1 mm³

This has been corrected.

2. Fig. 7 b): I recommend the Y axis range to 1E+06 only.

This has been corrected, adding a respective comment in the legend that only for Figure 7 (a) the y-scale (G' , G'') is identical to Figure 1 allowing direct comparison.

All in all, the manuscript is of enough interest and should be accepted after above mentioned corrections.