

For research article

Response to Reviewer 2 Comments

1. Summary

Thank you very much for taking the time to review this manuscript. Please find the detailed responses below and the corresponding revisions/corrections highlighted/in track changes in the re-submitted files. *[This is only a recommended summary. Please feel free to adjust it. We do suggest maintaining a neutral tone and thanking the reviewers for their contribution although the comments may be negative or off-target. If you disagree with the reviewer's comments please include any concerns you may have in the letter to the Academic Editor.]*

2. Questions for General Evaluation

Reviewer's Evaluation

Response and Revisions

Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?

Yes/ /Must be improved/Not applicable

[Please give your response if necessary. Or you can also give your corresponding response in the point-by-point response letter. The same as below]

Are all the cited references relevant to the research?

Can be improved

Is the research design appropriate?

Yes

Are the methods adequately described?

Yes

Are the results clearly presented?

Yes

Are the conclusions supported by the results?

Yes

3. Point-by-point response to Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Comments 1: *Authors already corrected the errors noted in previous rounds of review. Just a concern that a lot of the issues noted are not cited. Authors should be sure that proper citations are provided. (the following are just examples, authors should go over the entire introduction and literature review section)*

eg. line 32 - Some far-sighted ecologists have begun to reflect deeply...

line 43 - the Chinese government proposed.....

Response 1: Thank you for pointing this out. We agree with this comment. Therefore, we have meticulously checked the content of the original text and added corresponding reference citations where needed. For example, we have added references in line 39, line 49:

1. Zhang, J.Z.; Yin, N.; Wang, S.; Yu, J.P.; Zhao, W.W.; Fu, B.J. Ecosystem and natural resources awareness. *Ecosystem Services*. 2021, 46. 10.1016/j.ecoser.2020.101219
4. Sha, F. The predicament and realization path of China's eco-civilization construction. *4th International Conference on Advances in Energy Resources and Environment Engineering (ICAESEE)*. Chengdu, PEOPLES R CHI-NA. Date DEC 07-09, 2018.

Comments 2: *just some minor grammar and typing error check*

Response 2: Thank you for pointing this out. We agree with this comment. We have meticulously checked the original for grammatical and typographical errors.

For review article

Response to Reviewer X Comments

1. Summary

Thank you very much for taking the time to review this manuscript. Please find the detailed responses below and the corresponding revisions/corrections highlighted/in track changes in the re-submitted files. *[This is only a recommended summary. Please feel free to adjust it. We do suggest maintaining a neutral tone and thanking the reviewers for their contribution although the comments may be negative or off-target. If you disagree with the reviewer's comments please include any concerns you may have in the letter to the Academic Editor.]*

2. Questions for General Evaluation

Reviewer's Evaluation

Response and Revisions

Is the work a significant contribution to the field?



[Please give your response if necessary. Or you can also give your corresponding response in the point-by-point response letter. The same as below]

Is the work well organized and comprehensively described?



Is the work scientifically sound and not misleading?



Are there appropriate and adequate references to related and previous work?



Is the English used correct and readable?



3. Point-by-point response to Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Comments 1: *[Paste the full reviewer comment here.]*

Response 1: *[Type your response here and mark your revisions in red]* Thank you for pointing this out. I/We agree with this comment. Therefore, I/we have....*[Explain what change you have made. Mention exactly where in the revised manuscript this change can be found – page number, paragraph, and line.]*

“[updated text in the manuscript if necessary]”

Comments 2: *[Paste the full reviewer comment here.]*

Response 2: Agree. I/We have, accordingly, done/revised/changed/modified.....to emphasize this point. *Discuss the changes made, providing the necessary explanation/clarification. Mention exactly where in the revised manuscript this change can be found – page number, paragraph, and line.]*
“[updated text in the manuscript if necessary]”

4. Response to Comments on the Quality of English Language

Point 1:

Response 1: (in red)

5. Additional clarifications

[Here, mention any other clarifications you would like to provide to the journal editor/reviewer.]