Review on Sustainability – 2646453 „Assessment of Green Banking Performance”

Dear reviewer, thanks very much for your review and suggestions. It will be especially helpful for our future studies. Based on provided suggestions, we improve our article; the remarks are given below. We have added the additional text, which can be found in yellow in the article.

• Methodology – It is not clear why the method is appropriate. I would prefer if the Authors use some alternative methodology for a robustness check (for example, Hellwig method).
The Topsis model is more universal and is the most appropriate in the case of banks. We have highlighted the advantages of the method used in the text. In the future, we will think about usage of other methods.
• Methodology – it is a pity that the authors did not use the Delphi method in their study,, Linear ordering methods require definitions of quantitative weights for the attributes.
Numerous methods have been suggested in the MCDM literature to determine the weights of Diagnostic variables.
Delphi method is more precise and it is sometimes impossible to choose more additional experts.
We have highlighted the advantages of the method used in the text. In the future, we will think about usage of other methods.
• Methodology – The choice of weights is a crucial element of the research. Weights selection needed to be added to the discussion.
Added in the discussion chapter.
• Results – – is it justified to provide all calculations in the TOPSIS method? Isn't the final ranking enough?
We argue that for the first phase of our research usage of the Topsis method is the most accurate work. In the future we will consider recalculating our results using other methods and developing the index.
• Discussion – no discussion of the results obtained. They should be compared with previous work research.
Discussion has been added.

Best regards,
Authors