

For research article

Response to Reviewer 2 Comments

1. Summary

Thank you very much for taking the time to review this manuscript. Please find the detailed responses below and the corresponding revisions/corrections highlighted in the re-submitted file.

2. Questions for General

Reviewer's Evaluation

Evaluation

Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	Yes
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	Yes
Is the research design appropriate?	Yes
Are the methods adequately described?	Yes
Are the results clearly presented?	Yes
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	Yes

3. Point-by-point response to Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Comments 1: Thanks for submitting this paper for being considered in Safety. The manuscript (safety-2614481) is empirical study investigating AVs' potential to alleviate transportation challenges faced by Veterans. The topic addressed is worth of investigation, and the theoretical/empirical approaches considered result interesting and may contribute in a substantial manner to the scientific state of Veterans' mobility. The manuscript is easy to follow, the statistically analysis is reasonable, the conclusion is accurate. Therefore, I believe that the article is ready for publication without any modifications.

Response 1: Thank you for your feedback and positive review of our manuscript. We appreciate the reviewer's recognition of the importance of the topic and the quality of our empirical work.