

COVER LETTER - RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS' COMMENTS

PAPER: Children-2444063

Title: *External inflammatory root resorption in traumatized immature incisors: MTA plug or Revitalization? A case series.*

Authors: Tchilalo Boukpepsi, Leslie Cottreel, Kerstin M. Galler

Response to Reviewers

June 30th, 2023

**Dear Editor,
Dear Donna Ding, Editor of the Special Issue:**

Thank you for providing the thorough evaluations with comments and suggestions by the reviewers concerning our manuscript entitled "***External inflammatory root resorption in traumatized immature incisors: MTA plug or Revitalization? A case series***". We very much appreciate the constructive comments and have addressed all the concerns that were raised, point by point. We feel that the manuscript has indeed improved markedly.

We are delighted to re-submit a thoroughly revised version of this manuscript. Please find the changes that have been made to the text highlighted in yellow throughout the text, and the point-by-point responses to the reviewers comments below.

Sincerely,

Tchilalo Boukpepsi and Kerstin Galler
on behalf of all contributing authors

Reply to reviewer #2

Review Report Form

- Open Review** ☐ I would not like to sign my review report
☒ I would like to sign my review report
- Quality of English Language ☐ I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper
☐ English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible
☒ Extensive editing of English language required
☐ Moderate editing of English language required
☐ Minor editing of English language required
☐ English language fine. No issues detected

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	☒	☐	☐	☐
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	☐	☒	☐	☐
Is the research design appropriate?	☐	☒	☐	☐
Are the methods adequately described?	☐	☒	☐	☐
Are the results clearly presented?	☒	☐	☐	☐
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	☐	☒	☐	☐

Concern of the reviewer:

Dear authors : The case series is good, but it needs extensive grammatical check. Below are some of the examples. Please add studies in the introduction which supports more about the technique. When you talk about the introduction of treatment options, please quote studies which have used these agents and found positive effect

- abstract : line 26 remove the word "for"
- Introduction: dont use complex sentences. split the sentence into tow especially in line 52, the limitations
- is there any specific guidelines by IAPD on EIRR
- Methodology : Ethical considerations not mentioned
- please give bullets from 109 to115
- line 129 to 130 - rewrite the sentence
- line 169 ---write it as one not 1
- Discussion : can be improved, so depending on the case series, discusion can be elaborated quoting the advantages of each agent in the procedure and drawbacks for the same.

Our response: When reviewing our manuscript again, you will find that extensive changes have been made, concerning grammar, but in particular the structure of the manuscript. Additional information has been added. We have split up sentences to make it easier for the reader to follow. Ethical consideration for the case series has been added (which can be found ll. 121 – 124). Numbers < 12 are now written out. The Discussion has been fully re-structured.