Dear reviewer,

We are pleased to submit the revised version of the paper entitled 'Stimulating circular urban regeneration through cultural and sustainable communities: the proposal for a Green Blue Youth Vision 2030'.

We would like to express our appreciation for the useful comments provided. We have carefully revised the manuscript to improve it according to the reviews received. Detailed responses to all individual comments are provided in the table below.

Along with this detailed response, a version of the manuscript both with and without track-changes has been submitted.

Thank you for your consideration of our manuscript. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely

Reviewer 1	
Reviewer's comments	**Authors' answers**
Overview	
This is an interesting text based on a practical experience of reflection shared with young people and stakeholders to discuss the objectives of the 2030 Agenda and put into practice a 'culture of sustainability'. The reflection is well founded and the methodology followed in the forum is also very well explained.	Thank you very much for your appreciation.
Results	
From my point of view, I believe that it would be necessary to separate the chapters on the results and respective discussion from the chapter on the conclusions, so that the options considered most appropriate to activate the results could be reflected.	Thank you very much for this useful observation. We have reorganized the paper following your suggestions.
Discussions	
The discussion on the need to rethink/criticize the assumptions on which the modern lifestyle - based on consumption/production (even of it is circular) is based on it is not made. We must have to assume the need to think how we can act differently in an ecosystem in a real risk of extinction as well in a society where the social inequalities and social injustice are growing.	Thank you very much for your suggestion. We integrated the results with the discussion of the steps and enriched the conclusions with the suggestions you made.

Structure of Article	
It is also considered that the text is too long, which negatively influences the reader's ability to retain the main messages. In this domain, it would be advisable to reduce the textual part, including graphics, about the characteristics and profiles of the respondents in the survey and with that margin to separate the chapters presenting the results and respective discussion from the conclusions in which the aspects, that I consider are missing, could be discussed.	Thank you very much for your suggestion. We have reorganised the whole paper following your considerations.
Some small errors were detected throughout the text that are identified in the commented version that is attached.	Thank you very much for your attention. We have corrected all the errors you suggested.