A scoping review of economic evaluations to inform the reorientation of preventive health services in Australia

Review :

The authors state in the article that the aim of this scoping review was to identify and synthesise the available evidence from systematic reviews on the cost-effectiveness of preventive health strategies relevant to local public health services to inform the reorientation of preventive health services and the delivery of value-based health care.

The purpose of the article is clear, in line with the journal's profile, but improvements should be made to the text of the article:

1) before formulating the purpose of the article, the research problem and research questions should be presented. Please indicate why your research is important and what research gap it is trying to fill.

In the introduction, or in a separate section, it should be outlined what problem the authors want to solve? In this case, it is most likely to be about the cost-effectiveness of preventive strategies. If so, please outline the theory behind the problem. For example, from an economic point of view, what is the functioning of health organisations. Why an organisation should determine its cost-effectiveness. Please emphasize in the introduction why your research is important.

2) The article is very interesting and quite well prepared methodologically. Please consider the following improvements to the methodology :

In this article, section 2.1 describes the key categories with an indication of their importance in the study of efficiency. In section 2.2 research strategy - what were the steps in searching for articles, which bases, etc.

Please formulate in more detail what the next stages of the study will be beyond the selection of journals according to the PRISMA model. e.g. in points - what will be done in sequence and what results are expected. How the results will be grouped, what will be obtained on this basis, etc.

3) Conclusion development. Once the research questions have been formulated in the introduction, address them appropriately in the conclusion.

4) Limitation, implication include necessary elements and information. The discussion refers to other research and has references to the theory that the author wants to develop.

5) Please add more new literature in the references. There are too many references older than 5 years.

6) The figures and tables are appropriate.