International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, Manuscript Revisions, ijerph-2396998

Titled “A scoping review of economic evaluations to inform the reorientation of preventive health services in Australia”

Table of changes:

Reviewer Comment	Response to reviewer and revision to manuscript	Location
Reviewer #1		
1. In method and selection of articles, is there any reasoning or references about cut off 25% which used in this study?	The cut off used was an arbitrary measure to ensure that majority of the studies included in the reviews were from high income countries. The maximum percentage of included studies in the review was 18% which was below the cut off point of 25%.	No change
2. In method, did authors use kappa to compared the review result between two reviewer in the screening stage?	No kappa was not used to compare the review results between the two reviewers during the screening of the studies but discrepancies were resolved through discussion. There were no studies that warranted a third reviewer to resolve the discrepancies.	No change
3. Please include the PRISMA-ScR checklist for this study.	A PRISMA-ScR checklist has now been completed for this study and is reported in Appendix A.	Appendix A, Table 1