Response to Reviewer 1 Comments

Dear Reviewer,
Thank you for your response and suggestions. Please, find enclosed our responses and corrections and improvements implemented to the manuscript following your requests.

Point 1: The authors have substantially improved the manuscript. However, the quality of the figures remains poor and difficult to follow. Please, the authors should improve the presentation of the figures.

Response 1: Thank you for this suggestion. We have made changes to the presentation of the figures, and we believe that their quality has been improved. They have been divided into a larger number of figures (please refer to Figures 1-15).

Point 2: A very dramatic point is the use of English grammar, the authors must extensively improve the manuscript in this regard with native experts.

Response 1: Our manuscript has been sent for professional language editing recommended by MDPI. The certificate of the above-mentioned editing can be found below.

We certify that the following article

Circulating angiogenic factors and ischemic diabetic foot syndrome advancement – a pilot study

[redacted]

has undergone English language editing by MDPI. The text has been checked for correct use of grammar and common technical terms, and edited to a level suitable for reporting research in a scholarly journal.
MDPI uses experienced, native English speaking editors. Full details of the editing service can be found at
► https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english.

MDPI Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute

Basel, Switzerland
May 2023

AUTHORservices

english-66119