Dear Reviewer,

First of all thank you for time, spend to review our paper, then for your kind observation and proper suggestions. Your proper suggestions were beneficial to help us order/direct our scientific paper work. So we re-organized our paper, more logically as you recommended us, in the following we will mention the main changes, highlighted with red color in the paper:

- ✓ We consider valuable your suggestion regarding the text between lines 54 and 70, and we implement your suggestion, lines 72-99;
- ✓ We also implement your valuable suggestion concerning Paragraph III. Cultural tourism including in a single table the text between lines 445 and 511, lines 611, table 11;
- ✓ As far as concerning Paragraph V. Ethno-cultural tourism is also too long, we reduce it by using a table, lines 648, table 12;
- ✓ Regarding your comment about spacing and punctuation we fixed this aspect;
- ✓ Indeed it is advisable to always put the value in euros, so we did that, lines 436,511,522;
- ✓ Regarding paragraph 3.2 we did not use a bibliographic reference because it is our ideas, our description;
- ✓ Regarding the citation no. 45 it is Iancu, T.; Petre, I.L.; Tudor, V.C.; Micu, M.M.; Ursu, A.; Teodorescu, F.-R.; Dumitru, E.A. A Difficult Pattern to Change in Romania, the Perspective of Socio-Economic Development. *Sustainability* 2022, *14*, 2350, it is cited now, lines 827;
- ✓ We did try to improve the conclusions, as better as we could, lines 817-827, 844-864, ;
- ✓ We correct in the table 2 the word Județul with the word County;
- ✓ We correct in the table 5: km^2
- ✓ Yes indeed we don't analyze the three parcs because we concentrate on rural forms of tourism, and we already have a lot of material, but we did justify at lines 573-586 and remember those three parcs at lines 670-676; We did make the correction "tourist intensity (Bulgaria and Serbia) or or 3.5 times that of Slovenia and 6.4 times", line 381;
- ✓ We make the correction from line 794;
- ✓ We rephrase the reference from the number 32.

So in the end we hope we've been able to implement all your requirements. Thank you for your valuable suggestions and the time dedicated to reviewing our paper. You help us to direct our study on a better and much improved line.

The authors