Reviwer 3:

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

The abstract does not provide information about the method of creating materials and the results obtained. **OK corrected**
Also, in the introduction, when analyzing the literature, either the equipment used in these works is listed, but specific results and conclusions from the experiments are not given (lines 50-59), or vice versa, the positive effect of the coating is indicated, but it is not described exactly how it was obtained (lines 46-49). **OK corrected**
The rest of the section is made up of general words that do not introduce the reader to the essence of the problem under study. **OK corrected**
Description of the purpose and objectives of the study is completely absent. **OK corrected**
On line 117, the sentence probably begins with a mistake. **OK corrected**
Table 2 has a bad format. **OK corrected**
Figure 1 crawls out of the margins. **OK the image was removed**
Figure 5 - it is impossible to understand the scale of the images, and it is not clear why the drawings were arranged in this way. **OK corrected. Position was changed so that it correlated with the text**
Authors should be careful with degrees, the reader is not obliged to guess that, for example, ± 0.75x10-6 (line 382) is ± 0.75x0.000001, the text must be formatted. **OK corrected**
In the chapter “results and discussion” there are a lot of specific results, but their discussion is shown little or not clearly, while each result should ultimately lead the authors to some kind of conclusion. **OK corrected**
The conclusion looks unnecessarily cumbersome, it is not clear what conclusions the authors of the article come to as a result of their research **OK corrected**