Response Letter

We would like to thank the reviewers for taking the time to provide us with such valuable comments on our paper.

Point1： It is no doubt that AR is a good solution for rehabilitation training. However, this paper does not provide technical innovation, e.g., AR algorithm improvement, rehabilitation system improvement. Therefore, I hardly to evaluate the scientific contributions.

Response 1: Regarding the "rehabilitation system improvement" issue, we proposed a low-cost AR tremor rehabilitation training system that is suitable for home use in our study. Compared to traditional home rehabilitation training programs, the system's advantages include providing one-on-one training demonstration and guidance, improving training quality and interest. As for "AR algorithm improvement," there are currently no similar AR/VR solutions for comparison, and the methods and parameter designs currently used can already meet training requirements. We will continue to develop the system and explore new algorithms in the future.

We have made significant modifications to the innovative points, introduction, system methods, and conclusions in our paper, and have clarified some ambiguous descriptions. Please refer to the original paper for more details.

Thank you for your valuable comments, and we hope that we have answered all your questions. We would appreciate any suggestions you may have.