In this article Jelena Stanojević et al. discussed about the essential oil yield, composition, and antioxidant activity during two different maturation stages of umbels with seeds (I stage: premature-waxy; and II stage: mature-fully ripening) of wild carrot (Daucus carrota var. carota) from Monte Negro Coast. The specific comments about the article are given below.

Comments to Authors

1. Overall, there are many typographical and syntax errors in the text, please carefully check and make due corrections. Such as, in the beginning of the Abstract line 1 “propose” should be “purpose” and many more.

2. The study is a routine study and the authors fails to completely explain the novelty of the paper.

3. There is no explanation of why the composition and yield of Essential oil change in that part of the area? The authors just compared with other papers. The authors should also state the probable reasons of these changes.

4. There are already many studies which have showed that the mature wild carrot have more essential oil components and better antioxidant activities. So, what differentiates this study from others? I think it needs to be critically discussed not just mere comparison by writing the results of other papers.

5. Why just one antioxidant activity was performed? Why not many different antioxidant studies to prove the point of better antioxidant activity of mature wild carrots?

6. I think anti-inflammatory, antifungal or antibacterial or toxicological studies should have been conducted and compared with the wild carrots grown somewhere else, as it would have improved the quality of the manuscript.

7. The study design is too simple and the novelty have not been seriously emphasized. The authors need to significantly improve the manuscript.