1. In Abstract: I recognize the result difference between in the long run and in the short run? However, the authors may not need to illustrate "The results show that a one 17 percent increase in climate mitigation finance mitigates climate change by 0.01 percent in the long 18 term, while in the short term, a 1% percent increase in climate mitigation finance mitigates climate 19 change by 0.001 percent. The results also show that, in the long term, a 1% percent increase in GDP 20 and globalization mitigate climate change by 0.23% and 0.25%, respectively, while a 1% percent 21 increase in energy consumption increases climate change by 0.66%."
   To put the figures in here is not a good style of writing. Please find the better writing way to present your idea or concept.

2. As for the part of Literature Review, the authors already add quite a bundle of articles. I consider this part is much more improved. However, the section tittle 2. Literature Review seems missing. **Extant studies on climate change for South Asian countries or Extant studies on Climate change for other regions is the section title?**

3. In the final, I still consider the content of literature Review is disorder and confused. Please do some adjustment to make your arguments more logically and comprehensively.