Reply Letter to Reviewer 2

The authors appreciate the reviewer for constructive comments that improve the quality of this article. The modified part or the content added in the revision are marked in red. In addition, the revision has been edited by the MDPI English editing service (in blue). We believe that the technical context has been significantly improved. The response to each comment is given below.

1. In the abstract part, it is suggested that some data support should be added to ensure its integrity.

Response.

Thanks for your comment. The Abstract part has been modified, and the result with data is added.

2. The introduction section of the paper refers to more detailed background information on the field, highlighting the work done by others, as well as their own innovations and improvements.

Response.

Thanks for your comment. According to Reviewer 1, in the Introduction section several to-date references have been added, and contributions of this paper have been modified and rewritten to highlight the proposed method. In addition, comments have been added on the related literature in this section. (Lines 50-55; Lines 81-92; Lines 102-148)

3. The block diagram in figure 3 is too simple. It is recommended to beautify the picture again.

Response.

Thanks for your comment. Fig. 3 has been redrawn where brief notes are given for each block.

4. The conclusion of this paper is lack of summary, and it is suggested to increase the research prospects of other cases.

Response.

Thanks for your comment. The summary of this study has been added with the main results, the implications, and further research.

5. It is suggested to quote the paper "Color image encryption algorithm based on DNA code and alternating quantum random walk".

Response.

Thanks for your suggestion. The article on the website (https://wulixb.iphy.ac.cn/en/article/doi/10.7498/aps.70.20211255) is related to image encryption, which is not relevant to our field in the study (image restoration and computer vision). Thus, we apologize for not being able to include the suggested paper in the references of this paper.

6. A Complete Analysis of the Results and Study on the Limitations of Writing This Work.

Response.

Thanks for your comment. In Section 4, we have added more details in the discussion on the results. In addition, further research is given in the Conclusions.

We certify that the following article

Using Whale Optimization Algorithm and Haze Level Information in a Model-Based Image Dehazing Algorithm

Cheng-Hsiung Hsieh *, Ze-Yu Chen, Yi-Hung Chang

has undergone English language editing by MDPI. The text has been checked for correct use of grammar and common technical terms, and edited to a level suitable for reporting research in a scholarly journal.
MDPI uses experienced, native English speaking editors. Full details of the editing service can be found at
‣ https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english.



Basel, Switzerland
December 2022

