Open Review

( ) I would not like to sign my review report
(x) I would like to sign my review report

English language and style

( ) English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible
( ) Extensive editing of English language and style required
( ) Moderate English changes required
( ) English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
(x) I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Is the content succinctly described and contextualized with respect to previous and present theoretical background and empirical research (if applicable) on the topic?	(x)	( )	( )	( )
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	(x)	( )	( )	( )
Are the research design, questions, hypotheses and methods clearly stated?	( )	(x)	( )	( )
Are the arguments and discussion of findings coherent, balanced and compelling?	( )	( )	(x)	( )
For empirical research, are the results clearly presented?	(x)	( )	( )	( )
Is the article adequately referenced?	(x)	( )	( )	( )
Are the conclusions thoroughly supported by the results presented in the article or referenced in secondary literature?	(x)	( )	( )	( )

We certify that the following article

Factors Affecting Environmental Pollution for Sustainability Development Goals—Evidence from the Asia Countries

Vu Ngoc Xuan

has undergone English language editing by MDPI. The text has been checked for correct use of grammar and common technical terms, and edited to a level suitable for reporting research in a scholarly journal.
MDPI uses experienced, native English speaking editors. Full details of the editing service can be found at
▸ https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english.

MDPI Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute
Basel, Switzerland
December 2022

AUTHORservices

Response: Thank you again for your comment**.** I already used the English Editing from MDPI's services for our research. I hope that you will accept the paper for the publication. However, if you want, I will use the English service of MDPI from the specialist for the better English. You already see the certificate as above.

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

The text still can be improved. The wording is not integrated into Literature Review and Methodology.

And as a scientific paper, it needs a results discussion,

- The changes in Literature Review/Methodology could be improved and become the text better understood.

- The review's text has some formatting structure problems, so the editors will correct that in the publishing phase.

- The text does not present a results discussion.

Response to points: thank you very much for your comments. I already refine the research conclusions and recommendations. I added some sentences at the paper. You can see in the revised paper. I hope that you feel well with the research. Have a nice week!