Open Review

( ) I would not like to sign my review report
(x) I would like to sign my review report

English language and style

( ) English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible
(x) Extensive editing of English language and style required
( ) Moderate English changes required
( ) English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
( ) I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Is the content succinctly described and contextualized with respect to previous and present theoretical background and empirical research (if applicable) on the topic?	( )	( )	(x)	( )
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	( )	( )	(x)	( )
Are the research design, questions, hypotheses and methods clearly stated?	( )	( )	(x)	( )
Are the arguments and discussion of findings coherent, balanced and compelling?	( )	( )	(x)	( )
For empirical research, are the results clearly presented?	( )	( )	(x)	( )
Is the article adequately referenced?	( )	( )	(x)	( )
Are the conclusions thoroughly supported by the results presented in the article or referenced in secondary literature?	( )	( )	(x)	( )

Response: Thank you very much for your comment**.** I am not the native English person so I used the English Editing from MDPI's services after the reviews of the paper. I hope that you will accept the paper for the publication. You can see the certificate as follows:

We certify that the following article

Factors Affecting Environmental Pollution for Sustainability Development Goals—Evidence from the Asia Countries

Vu Ngoc Xuan

has undergone English language editing by MDPI. The text has been checked for correct use of grammar and common technical terms, and edited to a level suitable for reporting research in a scholarly journal.
MDPI uses experienced, native English speaking editors. Full details of the editing service can be found at
▸ https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english.

MDPI Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute
Basel, Switzerland
December 2022

AUTHORservices

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

- Title:

Factors Affecting Environmental Pollution for Sustainability Development Goals - Evidence from the Asia Countries

The central purpose is relevant, but the paper must be improved in all their structure.

- It is necessary to avoid using the term - The authors or author.

For example:

Line 12: The authors collected the data based.

Line 127: The author collected data based on the World Bank indicator from 2000 to 2020.

Response to points 1: thank you very much for your comments. I already replaced the word 'author' to 'the research'. You can see in the revised paper.

- Literature Review:

The authors must change the format. They can integrate with the Introduction and become the text more continuous, without broken structure, such as:

Banerjee, K., studied...; Bassey Enya, N., H.T. James, and A. Friday Bassey, used...; Chen, N. et al., said...

Response to points 2: thank you very much for your comments. I already change the format as your comments. You can see in the literature of the revised paper.

- Figure 1 is not a research diagram. It represents the factors linked to Environmental Pollution.

Response to points 3: thank you very much for your comments. It is very useful advice. I renamed the figure 1 to your comment. You can see in the revised paper.

- Data and Methodology item needs to be better described.

Response to points 4: thank you very much for your comments. I already added the information to the method section. I also described the data more detail.

- Figure 2 is not a figure.

Response to points 5: thank you very much for your comments. I changed the figure 2 to table 2. You can see in the revised paper.

- Figure 5 is not a figure.

Response to points 6: thank you very much for your comments. I changed the figure 5 to table 4. You can see in the revised paper.

- The result needs to be better presented and described.

Response to points 7: thank you very much for your comments. I added the results. You can see in the revised paper.

- The text does not present a results discussion.

Response to points 8: Thank you very much. I already added the results in the abstract. You can see in the red words at the revised paper. I hope that I can improve the writing paper in the next time. I wish you all the bests.