We thank the reviewers for the constructive comments, which helped us improve the paper. Our responses to all the comments are listed below, written in *red italic text*. The adapted text in the paper is indicated by green background colour. (However, we have not indicated minor changes related to grammar or spelling.)

Reviewer 3

Interesting article, which is an attempt to estimate the costs of road accidents in a situation of limited data.
Remarks:
Line 25 Please explain LMICs abbreviation on first use.
Line 31 Please explain RTIs abbreviation on first use.
Row 156 Medical, not the Medial.
Formula 3, line 170 variable names mismatch.
We apologize for these four oversights; we now fixed the issues in the text.

Line 187 Why was the decrease in employee productivity upon return to work omitted?

We are aware that the paper has several limitations, especially, due to data unavailability. We listed them in the Conclusions and recommendations section. Nevertheless, in our study, we attempted to fill the gaps identified by the literature review: the lack of studies addressing cost of RTCs and adjusting for under-reporting within Sub- Saharan Africa (SSA).

Line 211 - variable name in the formula inconsistent with the description in line 227

Line 212 - please explain with GDP / Cap - if it is gross domestic product per capita, please enter notation without the slash sign

Line 213 - Unexplained variables

Line 214, line 215 - editorial flaws - bold, parentheses
We apologize for these four oversights; we now fixed the issues in the text.

Lines 240, 247, 255 - please explain where the data on the proportions used in the formulas were obtained (PA_{sev}, FA_{sev}, Prop)

Data were obtained from Police interviews; fire service interviews and Association of Insurance data. This is explained in lines 406-420.

Line 320 redundant space.

We apologize for this oversight; the space has been removed.

Line 325 - Reference error.

Thanks for highlighting this; we added Figure A1 in Appendix A and corrected the reference error.

Lines 372 - 381 - please explain how the repair valuation was accepted - authorized service station or other workshop?

We apologize but we do not fully understand what explanation we should provide. Anyway, vehicle repair costs have been validated by loss valuers and assessors working in the Cameroonian insurance industry.

Line 439 - please do not hyphenate the words in the header, the word outpatient should be capitalized like the other words.

Thanks for highlighting this; we removed hyphenation and capitalize "outpatient" in the table

Line 449 unnecessary space.

Line 453 - useless space before the parenthesis.

We apologize for this oversight; the space has been removed.

Line 464 - in my opinion the involvement of emergency services is significantly underestimated. In my opinion, the travel time is often greater than the times presented.

We take note of this important observation. The travel time has to be sourced from field studies since we need an average figure. Clearly it is not feasible within the given time. We do acknowledge this is an estimate, and future studies that may have access to more primary data can incorporate this aspect

Please analyze the travel time, rescue operation time, documentation of the incident on site, preparation of documentation at the police station, preparation of firefighting equipment for the next operation or conducting detours in the event of a long road closure. In my country, there are at least 6 firefighters in accidents with injured people. In the event of a fatal accident, there are definitely more policemen on the spot, and the inventory of the accident scene sometimes takes several hours. This part requires review and improvement.

The average number of officers deployed (assuming a common cadre for purposes of renumeration) as well as the average time taken on the crash site, and processing of the scene was included in the estimate for this component of administrative cost. Due to the nature of the model, we cannot update some costs and leave the others, as the reference point is 2018. We also have to go back to the field to update some elements that may have changed since then may be due to policy environment unrelated to road safety issues.

Line 491, 492 - please correct quotation marks
Thanks for highlighting this; we change quotation marks from ' to "

Appendix A - the table shows the costs of the perpetrators of the incidents in prison, and it is not included in the costs of accidents. Why?

Thank you, unfortunately we were unable to obtain data on this specific aspect from the survey conducted, so, unfortunately, the item was not considered in the cost calculation.

Appendix A - out-patient is spelled as one word elsewhere.
Thanks for highlighting this; we updated the document by using out-patient

Table A14 - please explain the term "age limit in years"
Thanks for highlighting this; we explained in the table that age limit refers to imported vehicles

Table A14 - Please do not split country names in the header.

Thanks for highlighting this; we removed hyphenation

There are many editorial errors in the article. Please correct them while preparing the revised version of the manuscript.

We apologize for this, we had the English language checked by a professional.

The citations do not follow the MDPI style. Please correct!

We apologize for this oversight; now reference list and citations follow the style for MDPI Journals

Good luck!