Re-review of Hoffman et al. (Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 2022)

The paper has been improved by the authors and their replies were helpful. I think the paper is acceptable after the four comments below are addressed.

I will give line numbers using the tracked changes version of the revised manuscript.

The authors should state that $PM_{2.5}$ measurements are not available (maybe near L115). The statement in the introduction "the main air pollutants include…" (L46) would be better with $PM_{2.5}$ included rather than PM_{10}.

L92-97: I disagree with the authors that all of this methodological information belongs in the introduction. Look at this last sentence of the paragraph. It is going beyond the purpose and scope of the paper and unnecessarily into the method. Please remove (or move to Sect. 2) everything starting with "Multilayer …" until the end of the paragraph.

L156: More readers would be able to under the method if the authors briefly explain 'epochs'.

L159: The authors responded to "5 models" in their reply, but the paper needs to be improved in this regard. The reader has no idea why different models give different results and, at this point, do not have a clue how the most accurate one is determined. This section requires more information. Does it make more sense to combine Sect. 2.2 and 2.3?