Thank you for the opportunity to review this manuscript, dealing with interesting findings entitled "*Inhibitory effects of selected medicinal plants on bacterial growth of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus*". All findings are interesting, and the article includes a balanced and critical view of the outcomes. Following comments can improve the quality of articles

1. In my opinion, the research background should be improved. As mentioned in the Introduction section, To search for effective alternatives of antibiotics to treat bacterial infections, plant resources have shown great potential. The use of medicinal plants is still being considered a conventional treatment for diseases or syndromes worldwide. However, this important claim was not supported by any documented references. In order to balance the scientific viewpoint and attract more attention from audiences, the authors are highly recommended to consider the inclusion of the some recent relevant research and review papers like (**https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27185847**; **ttps://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10030231**; **https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics9060346**).
2. What is selection criteria for medicinal plant species used in this study
3. The graphical representation of antibacterial activity along with strong statistical analysis for fair scientific comparison in R, Origin, SPSS or any statistical software is highly recommended
4. What is selection criteria for reference strains and clinical isolates?
5. Graphically present the comparison of antibacterial activity of different strains
6. Graphically present the comparison of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and bactericidal concentrations (MBS).
7. The quality of Figure 2 and 3 is poor. Need to improve
8. How you selected the test concentration for antibacterial activity
9. Conclusions need to be revised as per own results
10. The whole article needs to be checked critically for typos and grammar errors
11. All the references should be according to Journal guidelines