Response to Reviewer 2 Comments (Round 3)

The author/s have completed two rounds of revisions, and their paper has improved little-by-little. However, some issues have remained.

Dear reviewer 2, we highly appreciate your time and concern and feedback on our manuscript. We have modified various sections in accord with your suggestions.

Point 1: Since the proposed research is called "pilot study", then why a sample size is calculated (yet without mentioning the total population)?

Response 1: The pilot test was diployed in a third university using 15 samples to ensure readability, and validity of the items. The sample size is calculated through Priori Power Analysis in G* Power which does not require population size for a sample size with required statistical power.

Point 2: The original contributions are hard to identify – as they are not neatly emphasized and compared to the state-of-the-art international literature in the matter.

Response 2: The Discussion and conclusion sections have been revised in this respect and several references have been added.

Point 3: Despite recommendations made, there still are English language errors ("a statistical criteria is"; confusion between "academicians" and "academics"; etc.)

Response 3: A full review has been done on the entirely of the manuscript.