Response to Reviewer Comments

Reviewer 1:

Point 1: The modifications improved the paper to some extent, however in my opinion this work is still incomplete. The authors included TON and TOF for some samples, however the most interesting relation TON/TOF as function of Pd content, which would allow to draw conclusions on the Pd dispersion and therefore efficiency ist still missing. This information would allow to compare with state of the art homogeneous catalysts for the target reaction.

Response 1: Thank you for your comment. After thorough checking, it was some error in the calculations according to the provided formula in manuscript. We come out with the revised and updated Table 4 along with the related explanation. We compare performance of the catalysts with several reported articles on Pd based heterogeneous catalysts as well as homogeneous catalysts. However, its hard to find Pd complex homogeneous catalysts performance in FA decomposition at similar condition with study in this manuscript.

Point 2: . As stated by the authors themselves, results are not sufficent to extract activation energies or other kinetic parameters. I would suggest to complete the data and publish a complete and closed story rather than implying a "to be continued" episode.

Response 2: Thank you for your concerns and suggestion. We try our best to collect and gather the appropriate data. The Arrhenius plot was provided in the manuscript (In Figure 15(b)) with related explainations (highlighted with yellow color in text).