

Response to Reviewer 2 Comments

Point 1: This is a very well written and prepared work. The authors have completely and thoroughly covered their subject, providing all needed justifications.

The only issue I can point is that while a significant part of the paper discussed general issues in deed, all case studies, as well as the discussion part focus on Chinese cases. This is understandable as a lot of work has been done there, however it feels that in a review paper, authors would try to cover other areas as well.

In all other aspects, this work is ready for publication, with some minor editing issues (see attached pdf).

Response 1: Thank you for your advice. In most people's view, harvesting is a simple process, whether it is mechanical or manual harvesting. Related research also seems less scientific and forward-looking, so there are fewer relevant academic papers or reports and not much material to draw on, and I will increase my reading in the future to obtain more recent and relevant research advances.

Editorial issues are also important and I will double check them. Thank you for your suggestions.