Dear reviewer,
We are pleased to submit the revised version of the paper entitled 'The Human Circular Tourism as the tourism of tomorrow: the role of travellers for achieving a more sustainable and circular tourism'.

We would like to express our appreciation for the useful comments provided. We have carefully revised the manuscript to improve it according to your recommendations.

Detailed responses to all individual comments are provided in the table below. We have written in red the changes and additions made to the paper based on the different review reports we received (excluding the parts that we eliminated and therefore did not report in the paper).

We also added the pdf version in case the layout of the images was lost.

Thank you for your comments and stimulating suggestions.
We look forward to hearing from you.
Best regards

Reviewer's comments	**Authors' answers**
This has potential to be a very useful paper which raises, and proposes solutions for, the need for a well coordinated transnational strategic approach to tourism development. However, it is very much a Eurocentric view and if global issues such as climate change are to be integrated there would seem to be a need for a more global strategy. Certainly many of the themes (including those that have been enshrined in buzzwords like 'glocalisation' in other literatures) are canvassed here, but what really jumped out was the extent to which the focus is urban. The attempt to integrate economic, social, cultural etc themes is very welcome.	Thank you for this suggestion. We have expanded the information, also adding those related to the whole world (for example in the description of some best practices and in the discussion section in which the results were compared with other international researches and projects). Surely, the topic addressed concerns not only Europe and, in fact, the questionnaire was filled out by people from all over the world. Therefore, this clarification was very useful.
Rural and wilderness tourism is, for many parts of the world, a major part of the tourism sector and one that requires different strategic approaches because of the very different resourcing needs. Equally, many of the cited climate-endangering problems cited are predominantly rural based (agriculture and forestry are obvious examples) but intrinsically linked to urban consumption. While this paper does recognise the 'cultural and natural heritage' dimensions in tourism, the understanding of these seem a little shallow.	Thank you for this reflection. This aspect was integrated in the paper.

There are some really good points in section 6 that could well have been expanded upon in more depth. This would have improved the balance of the paper and strengthened section 7. The paper also has some very useful references. As it stands, it feels out of balance. Perhaps if the first sections were slightly more coherent and efficiently handled and the discussion strengthens the paper would be much stronger. There is a hint of an underdeveloped theme that would seem to be central to the paper (hinted at by the 'vector versus victim" thought)	Thank you for these suggestions. This aspect was integrated in the paper. We have better balanced the various sections of the paper, which has also been reorganized based on suggestions provided by other reviewers.
The use of pie charts is interesting. Fig 1 also is intriguing … what is the difference between a 'worker' and an 'employee', for example? Also for a topic where motivations are notoriously multidimensional the way these results are presented is rather too simplistic. Fig 3 is one where a pie chart is simply the wrong type of graph to use because people will have multiple behaviours and this gives no sense of the range and complexities of the behaviours. Moreover a snapshot of behaviours tells us little unless we also have some sense of whether these are changing over time and, if so, in what way. Simply dichotomising it as pre- and post- covid does not really work when the intention is to develop a strategy that sits across multiple complex shocks. Motivations matter – e.g avoiding waste might be as a strategy for sustainability, it might be a traditional habit or it may well be to maximise available resources. What this reviewer is struggling with is to understand how sustainability awareness impinges on actions because the citied factors (local cultural environment, health preventative measures etc) are all things that have driven travellers/tourists for decades and meaningful engagement with local communities has always been, at best, superficial (tourists tend to be consumers rather than producers).	Thank you for this comment. We have taken up followed your suggestion and changed the type of graph, choosing a histogram graph (Now it is the figure no. 4 and not 3). Since this was a multiple-choice question, this type of graph is useful in showing the frequency of the answers given by the respondents, also allowing us to make reflections on which aspects are most interrelated. Considering the question of analysing the importance attributed to certain factors in the choice of a tourist destination in a "pre" and "post" COVID phase, we would like to clarify that this is only a part of our analysis. The latter aims to take a snapshot of a change that has occurred abruptly, while all the other reflections that we elaborate on in the paper refer to behaviour that occurs independently of COVID and that concerns sustainability. We agree with you that the reasons for adopting a certain behaviour are complex and interrelated and that, for this reason, they have to be interpreted from a systemic and dynamic perspective over time.

A little repetitive in places so perhaps an edit for efficiency might prove useful, especially if it helped to clarify and sort some of the more muddled ideas. The English is generally fine but an edit for grammar, syntax and readability would be advised. This is very important in those sections where it is not exactly clear what the authors are advocating.	Thank you for your comment. We have reorganised the entire discussion section by integrating the survey results with further reflections and indications on future perspectives for the tourism sector. This process allowed us to highlight the contribution of the Human Circular Tourism (HCT) as a strategy to achieve a more sustainable future and thus to solve critical aspects identified in the actual tourism model and confirmed by the survey. We have discussed this aspect in more detail in the paper.
I would encourage the authors to attend to the basics for this paper and perhaps pick up on some of the points above to pursue in some follow up studies. I am vacillating between a 'minor' and a 'major' revision for this paper - as it stands it is rather too muddled for easy reading but does cover a topic of some importance - I would strongly recommend a thorough edit. Generally the English is not too bad, so that is not the problem. The problem seems to be clarity of the ideas.	Thank you for this encouragement from you. We are working on the steps forward in this study, especially regarding evaluation methods (e.g., identifying multidimensional indicators) to assess strategies and actions related to Circular Human Tourism.