Comments "Perceptions of electric scooters prior to legalisation, a case study of Dublin, Ireland, the 'final frontier' of adopted e-scooter use in Europe"

The authors do not use the right and proper method to answer the influence of mode choice and respondent's perception determination of e-scooter but just remove the original wrong method, maximum likelihood regression (MLR). The authors are not encouraged to revise the manuscript such way. More detail comments are as below.

1. The authors remove the method of MLR, the most fatal deficiency, from the manuscript without replacing any new and correct method. I do not think the objective stated on line 140-144 can still be achieved.
2. In the previous comments, the method for this set of data is suggested. The authors should try and employ the right method to improve the results.
3. Without conducting the regression to find the factors that influence the mode choice and perception determination, it is not necessary to examine the correlation between the factors, Table 5 is redundant.
4. Without employing any estimation method, it is not necessary to criticize previous studies for the method conducted. The method of Partial Least Square Structural Equation Model mentioned on line 84 is not necessary as this study is not to challenge the previous studies in regarding the estimation method.
5. In the revised manuscript, the authors present descriptive statistics for various factors, Table 3, 4, 7, 8, and 9 (Table 6 is missing or the Table number is misplaced). There is no way to connect one factor from the other factor and not to mention to know the sociodemographic and

travel characteristics in mode choice.

6. What is the purpose of presenting those surveyed records on line 347-377? It seems very arbitrary to present some respondents' replies in the survey without any purpose.
7. The authors should be more careful about the file sent out for review. Table 2 gets mixed and is difficult to read.