Dear reviewer,

thank you for the thorough revision of our manuscript, we are very grateful for the constructive contribution and your comments.

In the following, we will illustrate how we have fully addressed your individual concerns by responding in detail to the comments and indicating the specific changes to the manuscript. Our answers to the reviewer are written in italics, changes to the manuscript are marked up using the "Track Changes" function.

Extensive editing of English language and style required.

In general, there are too many typos across the manuscript. I have read this manuscript over and over again, but it's too hard to understand the message that the authors are trying to convey.

Many thanks for the constructive comments. The entire manuscript was revised again with regard to language, grammar and spelling. Furthermore, the questions, results and statements of the study were revised to make them easier to understand for the reader.

Lines 50 : needs references.

A reference has been added.

Too many paragraphs in both introduction and discussion sections.

Thank you for this comment, which helps to better understand the manuscript. The introduction, discussion and conclusion have been revised and shortened accordingly.

Table 1. Some modified figures describing changes between Time A and B is needed. The graphs 1 and 2 are difficult to easily understand.

Thank you for this helpful comment, which is essential for a better understanding of the manuscript. An illustration (new Fig. 1) has been added to illustrate the fracture classifications used. Graph 1 has been removed as it repeats the information from table 1 and is thus considered redundant. Graph 2 has been revised. In addition, the caption has been extended to make it easier to understand.

Conclusion : Also, too long

Thanks for the remark, the conclusion was shortened.