Reviewer 1		
Comments	**Author Feedback**	**Relevant Page Number (in track changes document)**
Line 14 and line 67 – Write out the acronym 'BPS' the first time it is introduced.	Thank you for this edit. We have made the recommended change.	2 and 4
Lines 162-169 – The aim of the paper is not introduced until the end of the Introduction and four pages into the manuscript. Perhaps the aim of the paper could be introduced earlier and before line 127 (1.1. Why is it important to do the current review?).	Thank you for this edit. We have made the recommended change.	7
Lines 128-129– In "Young people are especially vulnerable in South Africa due to reasons such as economic and educational inequality" this is a good mention. It would be important to mention the role of apartheid that contributes to disproportionate health inequalities among Black South African youth.	Thank you for this edit. We have made the recommended change. "Young people are especially vulnerable in South Africa due to reasons such as economic and educational inequality (3) – in the midst of the disproportionate health inequalities among Black South African young people due to the legacy of Apartheid. The institutional system of Apartheid divided people based on the colour of the skin and other phenotypical features. This was done through the severe and brutal oppression and segregation of indigenous people in South Africa stripping them of all forms of power – many of whom are still trying to escape the economic and socially oppressive chains of Apartheid.'	7
Line 268 – Good inclusion of an image on the synthesis of multi-modalities using storyboarding.	Thank you for the positive feedback.	NA
Good inclusion of Figure 3 in the PRISMA Flowchart.	Thank you for the positive feedback.	NA
Line 384 - Figure 6 of Storyboard of adherence to ART for YLPHIV is compelling. Overall, good inclusion of various insightful figures	We appreciate the positive feedback. We have made clearer images of the figures available and included these into the manuscript.	Throughout manuscript
Overall, this is a unique, insightful paper on a compelling topic. It is comprehensive, well-written and relevant. Attending to a few clarifying questions may help to improve the overall paper.	We appreciate the positive feedback and trust that the changes we have made do justice to your review comments.	1-66