Comments and Suggestions for Authors

In the present study, the authors applied an ePR-EDSS questionnaire to 147 patients with MS in Croatia. The conclusion of the study is that ePR-EDSS showed more pronounced problems in PPMS and SPMS compared to RRMS concerning overall wellness-functional abilities, mobility, sensation and strength in legs, strength in the right arm, coordination, vision, muscle weakness of both sides of the face, and feeling on the right side of the face, the urgency to urinate and fatigue.

Patient reported outcomes are undoubtedly a valid tool for assessing the disability status and health conditions but as rightly pointed out by the authors themselves, the major limitation of this study is that it does not provide any correlations between the score obtained through the questionnaire and the main clinical tools to assess the disease and does not provide any information about the effectiveness of treatments.

Minor:

Materials and Methods

Results showed in the Participant section (lines 78 and 79) should be moved in Results section.

Results

Table 1

- Results of categorical variables should be presented as frequencies and percentages and not only as percentages
- Variables with a non-gaussian distribution should be presented as median (IQR) and NOT as median±IQR
- Authors should add some details about missing values

Figure 1: I suggest to delete it

Table 2 and Table 3

- Results should be presented as frequencies and percentages and not only as percentages

Table 4

- It could be merged with Table 1
- There is a typo in presenting “MS type not know data”: N should be 17 and not 7

Table 4 and Table 5

- Please provide the correct p-values and not only >0.05

Table 6

- Since the test used is a non-parametric test, I would expect to find the variables summarized as medians and IQRs and not as means and standard deviations